

**WEYMOUTH CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
RECORD OF MINUTES AND PROCEEDINGS**

**Wednesday, June 20, 2018, 7:00 p.m.  
McElroy Room, McCulloch Building  
182 Green Street, Weymouth, MA**

**Present:** Tom Tanner, Chairman  
Scott Dowd, Commissioner and Clerk  
George Loring, Commissioner  
John Reilly, Vice Chairman  
Frank Singleton, Commissioner

**Also Present:** Mary Ellen Schloss, Conservation Administrator

Chairman Tanner called the June 20, 2018 Commission meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the McElroy Room, McCulloch Building, 182 Green Street, Weymouth MA.

**Approval of Minutes:**

The minutes of May 30, 2018 were reviewed.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to approve the minutes from the May 30, 2018 meeting as written.*

**North Weymouth Beach Connection Project – Notice of Intent, Public Hearing Continued**

**Town of Weymouth**

**Map 2, Block 12, Lot 10**

**DEP File #81-1213**

***Rock revetment and pedestrian walkway to connect Wessagussett and Lane beaches***

Ms. Schloss stated there is a request to continue this hearing to the commission's next meeting on July 18. A MEPA filing has been done and a site visit will be conducted. She will notify the commission members when the site visit has been scheduled.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to continue the public hearing until July 18, 2018.*

**Whitman's Pond – Request for Extension to Order of Conditions, Public Hearing**

**Town of Weymouth**

**Map 25,26,29**

**DEP File #81-1041**

***Management of invasive/nuisance vegetation in West Cove of Whitman's Pond***

*On a motion made by Commissioner Loring, seconded by Commissioner Reilly, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to open the public hearing.*



Chip Fontaine, Town Engineer, addressed the commission. He stated this is a request for an extension to the Order of Conditions to conduct nuisance vegetation management at Whitman's Pond. Chairman Tanner asked if anything is drastically changing that requires the extension. Mr. Fontaine stated he is not aware of anything especially different, but if the Order of Conditions expires they would have to start the project all over again and come back before the commission. He stated they will continue to manage the weeds in accordance with the approved conditions. They are planning to continue to work with SOLitude Lake Management, the consultant they brought in last year.

Ms. Schloss stated this is a request for a three year extension, as the Wetlands Protection Act allows three years. She stated this is maintenance work for vegetation management and the Order of Conditions will continue indefinitely. Commissioner Loring asked if everyone has the same map this year. Ms. Schloss explained that last year there was a mistake made by SOLitude where they were using a map for the wrong pond and they treated the wrong pond with herbicides. They will be treating the correct pond in early July. She stated she was on the boat survey and they will be doing a comparison of vegetation to see if there was any impact from last year's treatment. Chairman Tanner asked if Ms. Schloss would like to be notified prior to the work being done. She stated she would like to be present when the work is done and SOLitude has guaranteed she will be notified.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to close the public hearing.*

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to grant a three year extension to the Order of Conditions for Whitman's Pond.*

Resident Ginny Donnelly asked what area they are treating. She stated she lives on the west cove. Ms. Schloss stated it would be treatment of the entire 17 acres of the west cove. She stated they use liquid and pellet herbicide which is a slow acting herbicide. Ms. Donnelly stated it is so overgrown on her side that she can no longer row on the pond.

### **Herring Passage & Smelt Habitat Restoration Project - Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent, Public Hearing**

**Town of Weymouth**

**Commercial & Broad Street**

**Map 19/23, Block 253, Lots 25, 26, 27**

**DEP File #81-1214**

***Construct fish diversion structure and other fishway improvements***

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to open the public hearing.*

Jill Griffiths, PE, of Gomez and Sullivan Engineers, addressed the commission. She stated they are the project consultants for the herring passage & smelt habitat restoration project. She provided an overview of the proposed project. The outlet of the conduit and a short stretch of channel are where they are proposing general habitat improvements. They are proposing a 10 foot wide box culvert. Currently there is a fish exclusion gate and it is at the end of its functional life and has been problematic. When fish come upstream to spawn they are attracted to the stronger flows coming



out of the conduit and they can get trapped. There were a few fish kill events where some fish were killed due to lack of oxygen supply. General fish habitat issues will be addressed. Rainbow smelt spawning occurs in this area and there has been a lot of washout over the years. At the end of the concrete walls there is a pile of rocks and over time this has caused a backwater effect which is slow moving and not good for smelt spawning, so this will be addressed with this project.

The purpose of the project is to replace the fish diversion gate, restore the herring resting pool, reestablish smelt spawning substrate, and regrade unauthorized rock weir. Target species are Blueback herring, Alewife, Rainbow smelt and American eel. They looked at many alternatives to allow for passage of flood flows with a higher wall height so it would not be necessary to have to operate a gate when there is flooding. The gate design passively passes the flood flows. It has a diversion structure, which is a concrete wall or small dam. The idea is fish coming upstream cannot go into the flood control conduit and go up the fish ladder.

Ms. Griffiths stated a construction access road from Broad Street will be used. Staging would take place in a partial area of the Lovell Field parking lot. Any plantings would be restored following construction. Dewatering equipment will also be staged in this area. A cofferdam will be installed in the dry and excavation equipment can access from the banking. Initial dewatering will take place with pumps then a 36 inch bypass pipe will pass flow during the project time. She stated the Division of Marine Fisheries is on board with this plan. Environmental protection and control measures will be proposed by the contractor and be approved by the town and the engineer. Any disturbance will use silt sock or something similar. She stated they have received the MEPA certificate. Dam safety has determined this is not a jurisdictional dam. Currently pending are the DEP Waterways License/permitting, DMF Fishway Construction Permit and USACE Programmatic General Permit.

Chairman Tanner asked if the town engineer has any concerns. Chip Fontaine stated the DPW has been involved in this project throughout and all considerations have been included in the project. Commissioner Singleton asked if the area is wide enough to handle some of the large precipitation events they may get. Ms. Griffiths stated they looked at the FEMA flood flows, which may be outdated, and did their own extensive analysis and 3D model. She stated this is all documented in the design report.

Commissioner Dowd asked if during the dewatering they will shut down the flow going through the flood control. Ms. Griffiths stated yes and work will be done during low times. If there is a large storm event during construction they may have to abandon for a while. He asked when the project is complete will there be continuous flow over the top. Ms. Griffiths stated there will be a dam spillway. The long side of the wall dumps out onto the base of the fish ladder. She stated there will be continuous spillage. In the off season (outside herring migration season) the gate will be kept open and flow will continue underneath, not flowing over the top. She stated there is a metal angle on lip of wall to prevent eels from going over. Commissioner Reilly asked how long the flow will be shut down. Ms. Griffiths stated 2-3 months, with in water work of approximately six weeks. Chairman Tanner asked what time of year the work will be done. Ms. Griffiths stated it will begin in late August or September.

Commissioner Loring asked about the wall to the sluice gate. He stated the gate height is 17.2' and the wall is 18.4'. He would like the gate to be the same height as the wall. Ms. Griffiths reviewed the plans and determined that they are the same height. Commissioner Dowd asked how the sluice



gate will be controlled. Ms. Griffiths stated there is a stainless steel hand crank and the public will not be able to tamper with it. Chip Fontaine stated the operation procedures have not been worked through yet. Commissioner Dowd asked if they will take advantage of the dewatering and inspect the flood control tunnel. Mr. Fontaine stated there was not enough of an impetus to seek funding for this work. Commissioner Dowd stated there are cobblestones that have been eroded out of place, otherwise the structure looks pristine. Ms. Griffiths stated none of the displaced stones came out of the tunnel so it is separate from the substrate they are installing.

Ms. Schloss stated this is an exciting ecological restoration project. She has put together an attempt at draft special conditions containing standard DEP conditions and the commission can add some of their own additional requirements. One of the most important is that the town's engineers review water control plans, making sure the bypass is sufficient for juvenile fish and there is a contingency plan if there is a 100 year flood. Other conditions include assuring there is a preconstruction meeting, typical notification items, and restoration of the park area. She stated they will need easements for construction from some of the neighbors. Chairman Tanner asked if the contractor gets the easements. Ms. Schloss stated she will look at this more closely as this may not be needed since the parking lot is under the control of the town.

Chairman Tanner opened the hearing to public comment.

Linda DiAngelo, 40 Neck Street. She stated she is excited the town is so proactive with this important restoration. She stated they have great herring wardens and they do great work. She stated overall she understands this project and it sounds like an excellent plan. A few years back she thought it was a great decision to decline on the size of the fields and number of fields at Lovell Field and they have come up with a wonderful plan with the size of the fields. She thanked the commission.

Dave Burke asked what communication has happened with Weymouth Recreation on the project. Ms. Schloss stated she was very involved with the Lovell Field project and all the construction staging and dewatering has been reviewed with Recreation, Asset Management, and DPW, and there is coordination with both project managers. Mr. Burke stated there was supposed to be a walkway to the MBTA parking lot and the MBTA was going to allow parking on weekends. He wanted to know how this is going to affect this project. Ms. Schloss stated the footbridge is a separate project and she has not yet seen any design or construction plans. Mr. Burke stated the parking at Lovell Field is not sufficient and there is a lot of overflow. Chairman Tanner stated the bridge does not fall under the jurisdiction of this hearing.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Loring, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to close the public hearing.*

*On a motion made by Commissioner Loring, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to issue a Restoration Order of Conditions with special conditions under the ordinance.*

**MassDOT Drainage Repair-Bridge/Neck Streets – Notice of Intent, Public Hearing**  
**666 Bridge Street and 267 Neck Street**  
**Map 5, Block 13, Lots 7, 13 & 46**  
**DEP File #81-1215**  
***Drainage system maintenance/replacement***



*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to open the public hearing.*

Eric Monkiewicz and Christopher Wagner of VHB Engineering and Linda Smith of MassDOT addressed the commission.

Mr. Monkiewicz stated they are proposing drainage system maintenance/replacement associated with Route 3A and Neck Street. The system goes through a U-Haul operation and a condominium property and discharges to the Weymouth Back River. This was first constructed in the 1950s and 1960s and has been expanded over the years. In approximately 2014 the town reached out to MassDOT with a concern of salt water mosquitos in the area. MassDOT investigated and it was determined the existing tide gate had fallen off and water could travel into the pipe systems. One of the manholes started to deteriorate so during high tide salt water backs up the drainage, through the manhole, to the surface and drains into what was a fresh marsh. They want to first replace the tide gate at Back River and upgrade and improve stone stabilization in the pipe discharge area. They would also like to replace the existing manhole within the now wetland system with a new manhole.

Mr. Wagner stated the figures before the commission are also in the Notice of Intent. He stated there are two sites, northern and southern. The northern site is at the Salt Water Creek Condominium Association. The new tide gate would be installed along with a 35 foot section of pipe leading from the current junction manhole in the parking lot. The areas subject to protection are the coastal bank, tidal flat, and the mouth of the river is well downstream, so this has riverfront area. The work to replace the outfall and pipe would impact these areas. Impact will be 450 sf of the tidal flat and 35 linear feet of coastal bank at area of outfall. There is currently a stone apron that is deteriorated. There is 1200 sf of riverfront area that will be temporarily impacted. The entirety of the work is located in a currently paved parking lot at the condominium association. They will loam and seed areas around the coastal bank as needed.

Mr. Wagner stated the southern site with the failed manhole would go from the condominium parking lot and enter the wetland using swamp mats to excavate and repair the manhole. The impact will be to the BVW. He stated the area of marsh was delineated and then reviewed by Ms. Schloss and she made a few adjustments which are shown on the plans. None of the work will be in the salt marsh. The restoration plan is in the Notice of Intent for areas that become disturbed. They have a planting plan with seeds and if needed shrubs. He stated a lot of the existing vegetation is well established and tends to bounce back pretty well so they may need limited plantings.

Mr. Monkiewicz stated work on the northern site will take 2-3 days to remove the existing pipe and put in the new manhole, new pipe, and new tide gate. The southern site will be a couple of days of work. Chairman Tanner stated the manhole has a solid cover and they were concerned that runoff from the asphalt causes the banking to be eroded. He asked if the manhole cover had weep holes would it help with runoff. Ms. Smith stated MassDOT does not accept other people's drainage into their areas so this would not be possible.

Ms. Schloss stated she wanted to enter into the record a letter received from Dan McCormack of the Weymouth Health Department regarding the mosquito problem in this location. The memo, dated



June 13, included a history of the site from Norfolk County Mosquito Control. She reviewed the memo with the commission.

Ms. Schloss stated they have had comments in writing from state and federal environmental agencies with concerns about impact to the salt marsh. She stated this is proposed as a limited project. Typically a conservation commission could not authorize impact to a salt marsh, however, a limited project allows some impact. She stated the Division of Marine Fisheries has 30 days to review the project. They have scheduled a site visit for July 12 at 2:00 p.m. and would like the hearing continued to the commission's next meeting. She stated an EPA senior wetland scientist has weighed in with concern and thinks this may require an Army Corps of Engineers permit. Ms. Smith stated this area is only exposed to salt water during extreme high tides, four days a month.

Chairman Tanner opened the hearing to public comment. Linda DiAngelo, 40 Neck Street, stated she understands the importance of this project. She is also concerned if there is a way to bring the idea of restoration of the salt marsh in play. Chairman Tanner stated this is only exposed to salt water at extreme high tides because the system is faulty. He stated if the system is corrected there will be no further intrusion into the salt marsh and Mother Nature will take care of restoration. He stated mosquitos in the area prevent people from going outdoors.

Mr. Wagner stated it is worth noting that at VHB they have been discussing this situation at length, as it is unusual, to the extent that there is salt water in this location which has formed from an unnatural connection. It is only because of the failed outflow pipe, manhole and tide gate that salt water is getting to this area in the first place. It was once a fresh water marsh. It does not provide the same functions that a typical salt marsh would.

Chairman Tanner stated he has a problem kicking the can down the road. He stated if they do not get a response between now and the July meeting he would like to go forward with a vote at that meeting. He stated all agencies have had a number of years to respond to this situation and are only now weighing in at the end of the process.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Loring, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to continue the public hearing until July 18, 2018.*

**Colony Station – Notice of Intent, Public Hearing**  
**Chris DiMambro, LT & E Properties, LLC**  
**1400-1430 Main Street**  
**Map 57, Block 626, Lots 11, 12, 10, 3, 9**  
**DEP File #81-1216**  
***5-story, mixed-use building & site improvements***

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to open the public hearing.*

Jeff Tocchio, attorney representing LT & E Properties, addressed the commission. He stated this project is a mixed use property in the commercial overlay district. He stated they conducted a site visit on June 11. The site contained a combination of five properties with 6+ curb cuts onto route 18, including the Main Street Grille, two residential properties, and a gas station. The MBTA right-of-way is behind it. South of the property is the MBTA station. North is a masonry business which



is going to be converted into a detention basin for the MassDOT Route 18 project. The proposed building is a 5 story mixed use with 153 bedrooms and 7000 sf of commercial space. Open space is 22 percent of the site, excluding wetlands. They are using available land in a productive manner and placing it in a way that is cognizant with working with the wetland system. He stated Chip Fontaine, the town engineer, made excellent comments and they made changes on the east side where the wetlands are located. They are looking at some geometric changes and provided responses to Ms. Schloss' comments. Primary issues are waivers under local bylaws and regulations of the 50 foot no disturb for commercial, and since it is mixed use there is also residential.

Gabe Crocker of CHA Consulting presented an overview of the property. He stated the existing wetland is along the back of the property. The wetland system is highly degraded and full of trash and debris. There is parking up to the edge that has been graded and disturbed over the years. They have an opportunity to check all the boxes the commercial overlay district is trying to achieve to utilize smaller properties. This project provides an opportunity to consolidate under one ownership and to restore the wetland system and make enhancements with the buffer zones. There is over 500 feet of frontage and over half is curb cuts. One of the goals of the overlay district to reduce the number of curb cuts and they will have two defined curb cut locations. MassDOT is in favor of this to help normalize traffic. The entrance comes into the parking lot and there is a covered parking garage with residences over it. There will be a clear visual of retail space. Parking for residents is in a garage at basement level with approximately 115 spaces.

Mr. Crocker stated when they filed with the Board of Zoning Appeals the parking was originally curved and took the edge of parking close to wetland. Ms. Schloss expressed concern about pinching the wetland in that location so they squared off the parking lot, which lost a couple of spaces but is a better plan. There is a proposed retaining wall 4-5 feet in height with a guardrail and a fence to provide a solid barrier to capture trash or debris before it gets to the wetland system. The wetland system provides drainage and between debris and buildup there are a lot of invasive species. They plan to hand remove the species and provide a long-term invasive species plan and O & M. They are proposing grading in the buffer zone. There would be a new wetland creation of 800 sf. The drainage system today is nonexistent. There is one catch basin which does not function. They prepared a full stormwater management plan with a new system. The existing property utilities will be removed and there will be all new utility connections. MassDOT was in the process of doing takings so all the setbacks are based on after the takings.

Sean Papich, landscape architect, addressed the commission. He stated the wetland resource and buffers are an area of concern. There are existing red maples, which they will be leaving. Norway maples will be removed as they are invasive. He stated he has not seen anything in such bad condition. All the usual invasive species will be removed and they will work directly with Ms. Schloss on this. They will use heavy layering of native plants in the buffer and outside the wetland. He stated this may be a flagship project. A heavy matrix of native grass and wildflower seed mix will be provided. This is an aggressive approach for replanting and revegetating. Above the retaining wall there will be porous paving with a pool and a lawn with faux turf that will be permeable. Native plant material will be on the above wall spaces. They made modifications and did a staggered row of native shrubs behind the parking area. They will remove any dead and dying trees.



Mr. Tocchio stated they have looked at what is there and the actual green space is going to be the wetland they are investing in and they want it to look nice and not become stagnant so the residents can enjoy the amenity.

Chairman Tanner asked the town planner, Bob Luongo, to weigh in. Mr. Luongo stated back in March the town council approved zoning changes to create a commercial overlay district. This is the first project the Board of Zoning Appeals is reviewing under this change. He stated this project fits perfectly into the zoning they created and is the type of development they had hoped for when they changed the zoning. He stated this will clean up the site and blighted properties and bring in mixed use development that will take advantage of the commuter rail station. The building is well articulated. The mechanicals will be shielded. The deteriorated wetland will be cleaned up. The Board of Zoning Appeals has to issue a special permit. The applicant is not requesting variances or relief. They meet parking and setbacks. The Board of Zoning Appeals heard the case and one gentleman who is a resident who lives on the other side of the street was concerned about shadows so the developer will be at the next hearing to present a shadow study which hopefully will show no impact to this residential property. He stated they hope to get final approval at the Board of Zoning Appeal's next hearing and he would like to inform the board of any concerns the commission has so the Board of Zoning Appeals can approve the project. He stated they have been working on this for a while and all department heads have met to discuss issues and conservation was part of this process. All the concerns of department heads have been addressed.

Ms. Schloss thanked the development team for working with her. She stated she has been at the site twice. They did make changes based on her initial comments. She stated this project is requesting a variance as they are working within the no-disturb buffer. She stated they want to make sure that mitigation is in place and is proper so it is established that this is how the commission wants something done on future projects. She stated they want to set a high bar in doing due diligence in protection. She stated the project needs to meet DEP stormwater management standards. This is viewed as a new development and they are going above and beyond the standards. She is still waiting on a review from the engineering department as they are looking at the revised set of plans. She would like to see the comments back from engineering before they close the hearing.

Ms. Schloss stated they did receive an electronic copy and paper copies of a letter from CHA on the waiver request, revised landscape plan, etc. She stated there are a lot of species out there that are highly invasive and the proposed plan is for two years of monitoring and replacement of plants. She would like to see longer term maintenance in the order of conditions. The owner would be responsible for doing maintenance and debris removal that would potentially block flow. She would like to this to continue through the life of the project.

Mr. Crocker stated following completion of construction there would be a two year monitoring period with reporting to the commission and if plants do not survive they will be replaced. He stated their intention is to continue this in perpetuity. Ms. Schloss stated she would like to see this in writing in the order of conditions. She stated she would like invasive species to be managed in the long-term. She stated she will have more detailed comments on plant species selection, seed mix selection, and amount of soil. Before the start of construction the applicant should provide an invasive species management plan. She is hesitant if they have some changes in the plants or soils if that will be revised. She stated this may be amenable to doing in an order of conditions. Mr. Papich stated they can work together on the species. Ms. Schloss stated there is impact on the



wetland long-term and there will be increased road salt into the area. She stated she is not prepared with a draft order of conditions at this time.

Chairman Tanner asked if they can close the public hearing and hold the purveyance from the office on the different requests she has until the July meeting so she can draft the order of conditions. Ms. Schloss stated once the public hearing is closed they cannot accept any further information. She stated she would like to get this in shape and have a draft order ready for the July 18 meeting. Mr. Tocchio asked that they close with a condition being that the engineering comments be included in the order. Ms. Schloss stated she is concerned that the design changes may change the plans. Mr. Tocchio stated when the materials come in from engineering and they can respond and confer on an expedited basis if they could arrive on July 18 with a draft for the board this would be acceptable to them. Commissioner Reilly stated he does not see this project having an adverse effect on the current wetland as the current wetland is in terrible condition.

Mr. Luongo asked the board to confirm that they will have a draft order of conditions to vote on at the next meeting. He asked if it is safe to say that the commission has no issues with the location of parking and configuration of the building. Chairman Tanner stated this will be the first item of the agenda on July 18. Mr. Luongo stated there has been great cooperation with Ms. Schloss and the applicant has been diligent in providing information. He stated they want to be sure they have met a high bar and bring good projects to the town.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Loring, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to continue the public hearing to July 18, 2018.*

### **Other Business**

Chairman Tanner stated on the agenda is a vote on the commission officer positions and titles. Commissioner Dowd proposed maintaining the positions and titles of the commission as is.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Dowd, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to maintain the positions and titles of the commission as is.*

The commission discussed changing upcoming meeting dates.

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to move the meeting of September 19 to September 12.*

*On a motion made by Commissioner Reilly, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to move the meeting of October 17 to October 10.*

Ms. Schloss stated as discussed before, a grant has been received for six homeowners to raise their homes. These homeowners will have to come before the commission and she asked if these small projects can be fit into a regular meeting, or should they have a separate meeting. Chairman Tanner stated he would like to move this out of the summertime as people go on vacation and everybody who lives in this affected area should be able to be present. He stated he does not oppose a separate meeting. He suggested Wednesday, Sept 5, which is the first reserved meeting date. Ms. Schloss stated she will discuss this with Nick Bulens and the commission can discuss this at their next meeting



**CPC Update**

Commissioner Loring stated nothing has changed from the report he sent out.

**Conservation Report**

Ms. Schloss stated she will be meeting with Castle Storage (186 Main Street) on Monday at 1:30 p.m. to discuss the fence location. She stated the original Order of Conditions stated there would be a 2 foot chain link fence along the guardrail behind the car wash, then it would be a four foot fence, and when it got to the Castle Storage building there would be periodically placed conservation posts. She stated in the last plan Castle Storage showed a fence along the restoration area. She stated they had to remove the fence by Kennedy auto repair and she stated they may want a fence there where there is pavement to ensure there is no encroachment. She asked for feedback. Chairman Tanner stated he would prefer a chain link fence along Valvoline due to the amount of trash along Route 18. Commissioner Dowd asked if the fence they are proposing goes east to west to Kennedy. Ms. Schloss stated there will only be fence by the car wash and by Valvoline. She stated they can do a guardrail with the two foot high fence along the Castle Storage area. It was agreed a fence was not needed along the Kennedy site, unless the applicant wants to install it.

Ms. Schloss provided the commissioners with documents to sign.

**Adjournment:**

*On a motion made by Commissioner Loring, seconded by Commissioner Singleton, the Commission voted 5-0-0 to adjourn at 9:35 p.m.*

Respectfully submitted by,

Patricia McDonnell  
Recording Secretary

Approved by:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Scott Dowd, Clerk

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date