COMMUNITY PRESERVATION COMMITTEE RECORD OF MINUTES AND PROCEEDINGS October 13, 2011 MINUTES

PRESENT: Walter Flynn, Chairman

Christopher Hannan Ed Harrington Donna O'Sullivan Dan Condon, Clerk

Scott Dowd

NOT PRESENT: Stephen Ford, Vice Chairman

Donald Sheehan Donald Mathewson

ALSO PRESENT: James Clarke, Director of Planning and Community

Development

Robert Luongo, Principal Planner

Maryellen Schloss, Conservation Administrator

RECORDING SECRETARY: Christine Callbeck

Chairman Flynn called the meeting to order at 7:00PM and stated that he would be taking items out of order.

NEW CPC MEMBER

Chairman Flynn introduced the newest CPC member, Scott Dowd. Ms. Harbottle resigned from the CPC as the representative from the Conservation Commission to focus on her work in Scituate. Ms. Harbottle deserves positive recognition for her work. Mr. Dowd is the new Conservation Commission representative to the CPC. Welcome Mr. Dowd.

MINUTES

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Open Session Minutes of April 7, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Open Session Minutes of May 5, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Open Session Minutes of July 14, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Open Session Minutes of September 8, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Executive Session Minutes of May 5, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to ACCEPT the Executive Session Minutes of May 20, 2011. Ms. O'Sullivan SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

Mr. Clarke asked Chairman Flynn to vote to release the Executive Session Minutes as they apply to the Emery Estate and make them available to publish. Chairman Flynn stated that he would entertain such a motion.

Mr. Condon made a MOTION to RELEASE THE APPROVED Executive Session Minutes as they apply to the Emery Estate. Mr. Harrington SECONDED the MOTION. It was UNANIMOUSLY VOTED.

WHITMAN'S POND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN PROPOSAL

Ms. Maryellen Schloss, Conservation Administrator from the Conservation Commission presented the proposal to the CPC.

Ms. Schloss stated the request is for \$24,800 to prepare a vegetation management action plan at Whitman's Pond; right now the pond is at a standstill regarding the management of the invasive vegetation in the pond.

Ms. Schloss stated that as the Committee knows, the Conservation Commission voted to deny a request for chemical application in the Main Basin last May, 2010. The proposal was to conduct the chemical application during the herring spawning season. Shortly thereafter the Conservation Commission issued its decision letter, it was quite detailed, explaining the reasons that the chemical treatment was denied with concerns for the spawning herring.

Ms. Schloss stated that she has made several recommendations on how the Commission feels the Town can move forward to deal with the vegetation management at the pond. Ms. Schloss read from her letter:

- Action plan for vegetation, specific to Whitman's Pond, with data specific to Whitman's Pond, critical herring spawning ground, most important one in the state
- This is a Weymouth drinking water supply, need to protect
- Recreation area
- Area is meant to be managed by pond experts that are not being pushed either way
- A working group organized and work with lake management experts
- 604B grant applied for grant

Chairman Flynn asked for questions from the Committee.

Mr. Hannan asked Ms. Schloss to give a rundown of the approved projects from the CPC by the Conservation Commission in most recent years as a point of information for newer Committee members.

Ms. Schloss stated:

- 2010 Conservation Commission denied chemical treatment to Whitman's Pond during spawning season.
- 2009 Conservation Commission approved the same treatment for the West Cove after spawning season.
- 2008 Conservation Commission approved hydro raking in Main Body of Whitman's Pond, this process of hydro raking can populate by fragmentation. This type of treatment would not work in the West Cove or the South Cove. Ms. Schloss stated that in 2008 when hydro raking was brought before the CPC, the Conservation Commission sent their "order of conditions" along with their approval of this project request.

Mr. Hannan asked Ms. Schloss to explain why the same treatment was approved in 2009 and not in 2010.

Ms. Schloss stated that in 2009 they were still learning about spawning and fisheries. The treatment was done in the West Cove only and after the spawning season. In 2010 they had learned more and knew more. In 2010 the treatment was scheduled to be done before the spawning seasons in the Main Cove where the vast majority of spawning is done and that is why it was not approved. There were additional issues about water quality, more dialogue with the Division of Marine Fisheries and DPW as well. The Division of Marine Fisheries suggested doing the treatment after July 1, 2010. Aquatic Control Services wanted to do the treatment before June 1, 2010 for best results. So, the Conservation Commission made the decision to say no. Conservation Commission has jurisdiction because it is in a wetlands area. Ms. Schloss stated that she will have a copy of the letter from the Division of Marine Fisheries sent to the Planning Department as soon as possible so that copies can be made for the CPC.

Mr. Harrington asked, if the Town does nothing, what the impact on the fish and drinking water is. Ms. Schloss stated that the pond will become thicker and thicker and it will eventually change the water oxygen. It will not be good for the fish or the water. Ms. Schloss stated that these were questions that Conservation Commission asked Aquatic Control Services last year and they stated the Town of Weymouth has approximately one more year to decide before they will "need" to do anything.

Mr. Clarke stated that the pond may start to disappear in the South Cove and the West Cove because of less water and more plant life.

Mr. Condon stated that Ms. Schloss sited many studies in her proposal, what information is missing that you think will be revealed in this proposal? Ms. Schloss stated that there are many other alternatives to chemical treatments and hydro raking, some of which include winter

drawdown, dredging, Diver Assisted Suction Harvesting (DASH), benthic barriers, spot herbicide treatment, partial-lake herbicide treatment, aeration, and biological controls.

Mr. Condon stated he would like to see a firm commitment; the results of this study should turn into an action plan. Ms. Schloss stated that the Conservation Commission does not have funding for an action plan, but that is a perfect idea. A copy of this proposal was given to the Mayor.

Mr. Clarke stated that before the letter came to the Conservation Commission from DMF, the Mayor held a big meeting at the Whipple Center to discuss the issue. At this meeting, the winter drawdown came to the table and DMF has been working on the winter drawdown, they are doing a two year study on the pond. They have committed to working two years on Whitman's Pond with a study on winter drawdown.

Mr. Hannan stated the Conservation Commission applied for a state grant; why was it denied? Ms. Schloss stated the 604B (watershed grant) was denied because they wanted more information from the vegetation management issue in the Town to justify federal funding.

Mr. Harrington asked where CPC is taking this proposal money from. Chairman Flynn stated that CPC has not looked at where to get the money from yet. Ms. Schloss stated that Conservation Commission can look at other agencies.

Mr. Harrington stated that if we call this maintenance then CPC cannot fund the work. Ms. Schloss stated this is a request for the proposal for a study.

Mr. Dowd stated the herring is very important to the state and nationally. This study is very important in what it means to our commercial fisheries. If the Conservation Commission can demonstrate that they have done a thorough and detailed analysis of the various options that are available that would result in maximizing the stability of the herring. Then this report/study could be leveraged to get some of the funds from the federal government. The herring are getting more and more important because Whitman's Pond is one of the last, and most productive, herring spawning grounds; Mr. Dowd feels that if the Conservation Commission can demonstrate that it can manage the facilities long term, he believes the Conservation Commission will be granted some funding.

Ms. O'Sullivan stated that a study like this is critical for Whitman's Pond if the study is done by an independent source. A restoration study is important; if it is not done, the pond will revert back to being a swamp. Need to be careful with the wording of the proposal because it is essentially a restoration of the pond.

Mr. Hannan asked how does the Town know who is the expert? What standards are the Town going to use to choose a company to facilitate the study? Ms. Schloss stated that it is not too difficult to choose someone to study the pond; the study is going to be pond specific so the information will be exact.

There are a few steps to follow:

- No one will be applying Floradon in the spawning season
- Floradon is not allowed to be applied until after spawning season
- Conservation Commission will be looking for an ecological consulting company to do the study with recommendations in solution

Mr. Harrington asked if there is a timeframe for this proposal. Can the CPC take time and talk this project over. Ms. Schloss stated that the best time to collect data is in the winter, would possibly like to collect the data this winter, then finish data collecting in spring of 2012 and write the report in fall of 2012 with work from the study starting in 2013.

Chairman Flynn asked Ms. Schloss to provide the CPC with copies of both DMF's letter recommending no treatment to the pond before July 1, 2010 and the letter from the Conservation Commission on its report subsequent to the initial request for herbicide treatment. Ms. Schloss stated she will make copies of both letters available to the Planning Department.

Chairman Flynn asked Ms. Schloss how the Conservation Commission can request a study of Whitman's Pond without the endorsement of DPW on this application. Chairman Flynn stated that DPW has been involved with this issue for many years but does not come before CPC for discussions. Chairman Flynn stated that the fact that DPW does not come forward in support of this project bothers him.

Chairman Flynn stated he has spoken with Whitman's Pond Association members and they commented to Chairman Flynn that the letter that was presented this evening, that Ms. Schloss is asking be included into record, is from Ms. Pries, as an individual and not as the President of the Whitman's Pond Association. Chairman Flynn is asking for clarification on this matter. Ms. Schloss stated that she went to the President of the Whitman's Pond Association and asked her to bring this up within the Association. Ms. Schloss cannot verify that this was in fact brought before the WPA. Chairman Flynn stated that he will withhold the letter and not include it in the record until such time as it is verified by Ms. Pries that it is indeed a letter representing WPA and not just Ms. Pries opinion.

Chairman Flynn stated a sentence on page 4 of the proposal, under Documentation section, second sentence: reads: *this analysis was funded by a CPC grant administered by the Weymouth DPW.* This sentence states it is another one of those issues where CPC loses control of things. CPC funded money for a treatment to the pond and without any knowledge to CPC part of the money was used for a study. Ms. Schloss stated that the Conservation Commission had to issue approval for that treatment and as part of the Conservation Commission's "Order of Conditions" there needed to be a vegetation survey done before any chemical treatment or hydro raking treatment could be done to the pond. Chairman Flynn stated that unfortunately this Committee got embarrassed because that "Order of Conditions" was never received by CPC or the WPA who submitted the application for the herbicide treatment. So the body that requested the money never received notice that such conditions existed and this Committee, as a courtesy, never received a memo or letter advising of such "Order or Conditions". Ms. Schloss stated that

going forward it would be in the best interest of both entities if there were more open dialogue. Ms. Schloss stated the Conservation Commission did not intend to embarrass the Committee.

Chairman Flynn stated his opinion on the possible management techniques suggested in this proposal, on page 6:

- Winter drawdown ramifications are water replenishment in spring and fact that cannot count on spring rains
- Dredging is costly
- Diver Assisted Suction Harvesting there is probably not a diver in the State, let alone the country that would go into Whitman's Pond and be able to see more than 6 inches in front of himself
- Benthic barriers don't know what that is but it sounds good and costly
- Spot herbicide treatment -
- Partial lake herbicide treatment -
- Aeration -
- Biological controls -

Chairman Flynn stated the range of this study is going to create a wealth of problems for this Committee. In addition, the proposal talks about long term monitoring, which Chairman Flynn stated only means "maintenance", which the CPC is not allowed to fund or be involved in. Chairman Flynn stated that if the CPC cannot fund the work to be done, why should it fund the cost of the study.

Chairman Flynn asked Mr. Clarke if there are any remaining funds left from the herbicide request that came in September 2009 from the WPA. Mr. Clarke stated there was money left over from the first WPA application and it was added to the next request that came in from WPA. Mr. Clarke stated that in September 2009 CPC approved a request for a \$61,000 project with \$51,000 funds from CPC and \$10,000 from WPA. CPC had funded \$24,000 to treat 15 acres of the Main Body but the project did not go forward because of time constraints on permitting. Eventually the West Cove was done and \$15,000 was allocated and \$12,000 of that allocation was used. It appears \$34,000 is remaining. The funds are still encumbered in approximately the amount of \$70,000. Chairman Flynn stated that he is considering asking for a motion to withdraw CPC funds from those projects and that the CPC rescind its funds with the understanding that the WPA understands that the CPC still supports them in their endeavors.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Arthur Mathews District 4 Councilor

Mr. Mathews stated he is here as a member of the Whitman's Pond Association. There has never been any vote taken by the Whitman's Pond Association regarding this proposal. Nothing has been brought forth at any meeting regarding this proposal.

WPA came before this Committee in 2010 with a proposal for herbicide treatment of the Main Body of Whitman's Pond. In order to do that, the WPA took two votes, one to support the project and submit it to the CPC and the other vote was to use \$10,000 of the late Lorraine Larabee's money that she left in her will for the Association. Those votes were taken and the proposal was submitted to the CPC.

However when Keith Gazzell (Aquatic Controls), Jeff Bina (Assistant Engineer, Town of Weymouth), Maryellen Schloss, Art Mathews, and Tom Daru (WPA) all met, it was to discuss that to do the whole entire pond would cost approximately \$100,000, so the question came up why spend \$80,000 to only get the West Cove and 15 acres of the Main Body done when for \$20,000 more the entire pond could be treated. So that is the new proposal that came before the CPC in 2010.

Mr. Mathews read from the Conservation Commission minutes of its meeting January 13, 2010:

Page 4 regarding Whitman's Pond

Ms. Schloss stated that the aquatic vegetation management project is working well. She noted that Sonar is being used. She noted that the West Cove was treated last year and the Main Body will be treated this year. She pointed out that CPC funds were applied for and approved to spend to treat the entire body. Ms. Schloss stated that the conditions for the process allow for additional treatments as long as the same chemicals are used

Mr. Mathews supports Whitman's Pond; he grew up on the pond, he lives there now, he is strongly in favor of cleaning up the pond and restoring the pond. But he has issue with this particular proposal before the CPC tonight.

There has never been a study done by anyone regarding the impact of Sonar on herring. The working group discussed ideas but never decided what to do. Mr. Mathews stated that DMF said they would like to do a two year study on the pond. Mr. Mathews stated that he thought the working group would continue; there have been no meetings since last December, 2010.

Mr. Mathews questioned who gave Ms. Schloss the approval to come forward with a proposal without the working group. Who is this proposal being presented from? Mr. Mathews feels the working group has been left out of the proposal and Mr. Mathews has issues with the scope of work in the proposal.

- The idea of a study of Whitman's Pond; Mr. Mathews stated that the late great Lorraine Larabee would say the pond has been studied to death.
- With the exception that the impact to fisheries or the herring in the pond has not been studied.
- Feasibility assessment:
 - Winter drawdown this entails drawing down the pond to a certain level and then
 the pond will freeze. In theory this will work. But there is a way bigger threat to
 herring here than with herbicide. In a warm winter, the ice doesn't freeze; then

- the weeds will not be killed. If no precipitation in the spring, herring cannot get up the ladder. If lots of snow, the ice will melt and weeds will not be killed.
- O Dredging there was a BETA study in 2004; Mr. Mathews read from page 20 of that study: as part of the study, BETA collected sample sediments from the Main, West and South Basins of Whitman's Pond, Due to a potential interest in dredging portions of the Main Basin and West Cove, an intensive sediment sampling program was included in the scope of the work for this project. 26 sample sites, map included 15 in Main Basin, 5 in West Cove and 6 in South Cove. They tested for phosphate, photo metal, PCB's, nitrates, etc. In conclusion of the study, BETA found that the pond contained PCB's in the Main Basin of the pond, no PCB's were found in the South Basin which is good because that is where the Town's drinking supply comes from. PCB's were found at 7 different sampling locations. After this study BETA recommended to conduct a fish study. The test was performed in 2003 by the Department of Public Health.
- O Diver Assisted Suction Harvesting this is the one Mr. Mathews least supports. Mr. Mathews stated his brother is a diver. His brother stated that a diver would need to cut the weeds by hand, in the other hand you would be holding a bag for the weeds; this would be difficult to do because you can't see two feet in front of you so you would also need to be holding a flashlight.
- O Benthic barriers these will work in certain areas. This is a barrier that is put down on top of the sediment. What the barrier does is try to kill all the plant life that is underneath the barrier. However, the barriers do not last a long time.
- Aeration they are in the pond now. There are three in the shallow areas of the pond right now. Problem was there is no power to get to the machines. So the Town had to put a power source on Middle Street to connect to the machines. These will help with the oxygen in the pond but will not kill the vegetation in the pond.
- o Spot herbicide treatment Mr. Mathews supports this treatment but wants to know who decided on only "spot" herbicide treatment. Mr. Mathews would like to see the entire pond receive herbicide treatment. With spot herbicide treatment, the effectiveness is minimal due to dilution. Mr. Mathews read from the 2004 BETA study regarding dredging and vegetation. Mr. Mathews stated facts from the study show that the vegetation in the pond is already doing a number on the phytoplankton population in the pond without adding the herbicide treatment. Mr. Mathews stated that BETA did a Sonar study also. Sonar has been used twice in the West Cove; in 2003 and 2009. Sonar will not harm fish, wildlife or humans when applied properly. Using Sonar will provide at least two (2) or three (3) years of effective plant control. This was recommended in the 2004 study. There were other alternatives listed as well.

Mr. Mathews stated that BETA has performed a number of investigations and other studies including:

- Whitman's Pond Habitat
- Storm Water Drainage Out Fall Investigation

- Structural Assessment of each Drainage Pipe inspected
- Dry Weather Out Fall Sampling Program
- Sediment Catching in the Main Body, both coves and Old Swamp River
- Dry Weather Sampling and Snuff Structure
- Bisymmetric Survey of all three (3) basins

In addition to these studies, BETA has managed the following pond restoration efforts:

- Weed Harvesting and Hydro Raking in Main Body and West Cove
- Herbicide Treatment in West Cove

Mr. Mathews stated that he feels that the information that is being requested has already been gathered. Ms. O'Sullivan asked if the data is useful to Conservation Commission being dated 2003. Ms. Schloss stated yes, the information is very useful. Mr. Dowd stated herbicide can be effective under the right circumstances. There are sub-lethal impacts on the brood stock, such as; if the brood stock is irritated in any way they won't grow well; their basic cellular functions won't function well; they can build toxins in their blood; the eggs can be malformed or too small. Mr. Dowd stated that his concern is for the herring. The first feed of the herring is phytoplankton.

Ms. Schloss stated that now there are studies that show evidence those plants can become resistant to the herbicide. This is why DMF suggests/advises do not use herbicide during spawning period.

Chairman Flynn asked Ms. Schloss as an administrator, will the Conservation Commission vote to approve herbicide treatment for Whitman's Pond at any time? Ms. Schloss stated it is possible if it is not during the spawning season.

Mr. Condon asked if the final report (proposed requested study) stated it is useful to compact vegetation, would Conservation Commission decide to approve such a treatment. Ms. Schloss stated that she cannot predict the future.

Mr. Condon stated that he does not feel confident that the Conservation Commission will commit itself to going forward with the results of the study that is being requested. How committed is the Conservation Commission and do you feel it will agree to go forward with whatever the study decides? Ms. Schloss stated that is a fair question and hard to answer.

Mr. Clarke stated that there are a lot of differences of opinion here tonight. Mr. Clarke suggests that the CPC convene a group to look at the proposal and get a better sense on the issues that were brought forward this evening. Chairman Flynn asked Mr. Clarke to get this CPC working group together before the November CPC meeting so that this issue can be discussed again at the CPC November meeting.

Mr. Mathews stated that if Ms. Schloss is able to get the working group together again for a meeting he would love to attend and hear the finding of the one year study on Whitman's Pond.

Chairman Flynn stated that this proposal will be taken under advisement until the next CPC meeting in November.

PROJECT UPDATE

North Cemetery

Mr. Clarke stated that the Cemetery Commission previously came before CPC with a proposal in the late spring of 2011 regarding two (2) stones for the Smith family. Mr. Clarke stated that Deb Sullivan has an estimated cost of \$10,000 for the repairs of the two stones. Mr. Clarke stated that the Cemetery Commission is very concerned about these two stones because they are slate and with the water damage they have already lost a few pieces of the stones. The Cemetery Commission was able to retrieve the lost pieces but is concerned about getting the stones restored.

Chairman Flynn stated he thought the Cemetery Commission was going to handle the cost within its own funding. Mr. Clarke stated that was not his understanding.

Mr. Hannan stated that he is recusing himself from the vote on this matter because he is on the Board of Directors of the Abigail Adams Society.

Ms. O'Sullivan made a MOTION to APPROVE up to \$10,000 from the General Reserve for Restoration of the Smith Stones in the North Cemetery. Mr. Flynn SECONDED the MOTION. Discussion.

Mr. Condon asked what the timeline on this project is. Mr. Clarke stated the Cemetery Commission would use the same people they used for the restoration work at Reed Cemetery in order to get the work done as soon as possible.

Mr. Condon stated that some of these projects need deadlines added to the wording of the motion, such as, if after one year after the project is approved and the project is not complete, CPC will recap all monies and the agency can feel free to re-apply to CPC for additional funding.

Mr. Condon stated that he would like to AMEND the MOTION to APPROVE up to \$10,000 from the General Reserve for Restoration of the Smith Stones in the North Cemetery; with quarterly updates from the Cemetery Commission and after two years of the date of approval all un-captured funds will be refunded to CPC. Mr. Flynn SECONDED the AMENDED MOTION. Discussion.

Ms. O'Sullivan stated that she agrees with this amended motion and feels that she would like to see this wording put onto all projects and also include the timeline to give CPC updates on work in progress.

Mr. Harrington asked does the CPC have the right to rescind money after a certain amount of time. Also, can the CPC recapture unused monies?

Mr. Clarke stated that at each meeting this Committee receives project updates. If additional information regarding a certain project is requested this information can be obtained. If this Committee could like the Cemetery Commission to produce a schedule of work, this too can be done.

Mr. Condon stated that what is often missing in the project updates is where the project stands financially. Chairman Flynn stated that when the CPC first formed the Committee received frequent and sometimes on demand updates at CPC meetings. Chairman Flynn stated that he can go forward to Mayor Kay and ask for updated financial data. The staff is always helpful and accommodating to the CPC.

Vote: AMENDED MOTION failed on a 4 - 1 vote. Mr. Condon voted yes; Ms. O'Sullivan, Mr. Dowd, Mr. Flynn and Mr. Harrington all voted no. Mr. Hannan recused himself.

Vote: ORIGINAL MOTION failed on a 3 - 2 vote. Mr. Condon and Ms. O'Sullivan voted yes; Mr. Harrington, Mr. Flynn and Mr. Dowd voted no. Mr. Hannan recused himself.

Mr. Harrington stated that he personally is not fond of cemetery projects. Mr. Harrington feels that if the relatives are not interested in this preservation then why should CPC be interested.

Chairman Flynn stated he feels that more information was needed to approve this project.

Mr. Dowd stated that he is not opposed to this project but rather uninformed, also needs more information before approval.

Ms. O'Sullivan asked can the CPC make it a regular part of the process to get all monies back that are unused on a project.

Chairman Flynn stated he would like to know if this Committee has the right to rescind actions that have been approved by the Mayor and the Town Council. Mr. Clarke stated he will check with Solicitor Lane on this question.

STATE MATCHING (Handout)

Chairman Flynn asked members to please look at the handout of the amended budget showing the state matching at 26.64%. Weymouth is receiving from the state \$144,114.

Chairman Flynn stated that he feels that the money issue is getting so tight that CPC should free up monies that cannot be spent so that when a worthwhile project comes in it can be funded out of the General Reserve. Members please consider this for the November meeting when a decision will have to be made.

PROJECT UPDATES

Legion Field Memorial Wall

Mr. Clarke stated that the work has started. Today they took down a good portion of the fencing and got the temporary fencing up. Plan is for this work to be completed before the end of the calendar year barring any unforeseen issues.

Emery Estate

Mr. Clarke stated that the Town is reviewing two proposals from the marketing study. A presentation was made to the Budget Management Committee on this past Tuesday night. Going forward with the proposals on Monday night is the Town Council Public Hearing and a vote. Any CPC representation would be welcome.

Kibby Property

Mr. Clarke stated he had a conference call with DCR; the plan is to meet over the winter and have all the final Capital Improvement Plans closed out in this coming year.

Brad Hawes

The Conservation Commission has agreed on the language to be used on the Conservation Restriction. So this project will now be brought before Town Council for action.

Abigail Adams

The restoration work is proceeding apace.

Chairman Flynn asked after the Brad Hawes Conservation Restriction goes before the Town Council, what happens to the Woodbine Road property? Mr. Clarke stated that he wanted to bring both Conservation Restrictions before Town Council at the same time but he still has to have conversations with a few people before the Woodbine Road restriction can be brought before the Council.

Chairman Flynn stated that Mayor Kay indicated that she will form a committee to make recommendations on what to do with the Emery Estate from the information in the Marketing Study. Chairman Flynn indicated that it would be a good idea to have a member or two from the CPC on this committee to help the group in its decision making to stay in tune with CPC rules and regulations. Chairman Flynn asked for volunteers. Mr. Condon stated that he would volunteer to sit on the committee. Ms. O'Sullivan stated that she submitted a letter to Mayor Kay as a resident interested in sitting on the committee. Mr. Harrington stated he is interested in sitting on the committee. Mr. Clarke stated that a lot of residents have expressed interest in sitting on this committee; it will not be an easy decision.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Community Preservation Committee will be held on November 10, 2011 at 7:00pm.

ADJOURNMENT

At 9:20P.M.,	there being no fu	irther business,	, a MOTION	was made b	y Mr. Hannan t	o
ADJOURN a	and SECONDED	by Mr. Harring	gton. It was I	UNANIMO	USLY VOTED	

Approved by: _		
7 –	Walter H. Flynn, Jr., Chairman	
	•	
Dated:		