## TOWN OF WEYMOUTH PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

There was a Planning Board meeting held on Monday, August 30, 1999 at 7:30 P.M. at the Town Hall.

Members present:

Susan Abbott, Chairwoman

Mary S. McElroy, Vice-Chairman

Mary Sue Ryan, Clerk

Paul M. Dillon Paul Hurley Patrick Leary Paul F. Lynch, Sr.

Staff present:

James Clarke, Director of Planning & Community Development

Roderick M. Fuqua, Principal Planner

Paul Halkiotis, Economic Development Planner

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 P.M. by Chairman Abbott.

1. Minutes – 4/13/98, 4/27/98 (Regular and Exec. Session), 1/25/99, 4/12/99, 4/26/99, 7/12/99

Mr. Hurley stated that on page 1 of the April 27, 1998 Mr. Lynch was Chair so he could not have made the motion.

Mr. Lynch stated that on the July 26, 1999 he is not listed as being present.

Upon motion made by Mrs. McElroy and seconded by Mrs. Ryan, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to approve the Minutes of April 13, 1998, April 27, 1998 (Regular and Exec. Session), January 25, 1999, April 12, 1999, April 26, 1999 and July 12, 1999 as corrected.

### 2. Other Business

Meredith Way

Mr. Fuqua stated that on Meredith Way they are getting ready to pass papers on that and they have recorded the subdivision plan and covenant, but there is an existing house on the Meredith Way subdivision. He would like a vote from the Board releasing the existing house on Meredith Way, Lot 8 from the covenant.

Upon motion made by Mrs. McElroy and seconded by Mrs. Ryan, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to release the existing house, lot 8 on Meredith Way from the Covenant.

#### 3. Form A Plans

a. 243 North Street – Sheet 7, Block 107, Lot 7

Mr. Fuqua stated that the plan at the corner of North and Sea Streets is in the Neighborhood Center District and the requirement is 7,500 square feet per lot. They are subdividing one lot into three lots.

Mr. Leary made a motion for no action, and stated that he won't vote for undersized lots.

Mr. Dillon stated that 7,500 square feet is the requirement in the NCD.

Mrs. Abbott seconded Mr. Leary's motion.

Upon motion made by Mr. Leary and seconded by Mrs. Abbott, it was:

VOTED: 1-6 (Mrs. Abbott, Mrs. McElroy, Mrs. Ryan, Mr. Dillon, Mr. Lynch and Mr. Hurley opposed) to take no action on the Form A Plan for North Street.

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mrs. McElroy, it was:

VOTED: 6-1 (Mr. Leary opposed) to endorse the Form A Plan for 243 North Street – Sheet 7, Block 107, Lot 7.

#### Main Street.

Mr. Fuqua stated that the plan is to subdivide one lot into two lots with each lot having over 19,000 square feet. The property is located in the Highway Transition District.

Upon motion made by Mrs. McElroy and seconded by Mr. Dillon, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to endorse the Form A Plan for Main Street.

c. Rambler Road – Sheet 45, Block 521, Lots 13 & 14

Mr. Fuqua stated that the plan is to subdivide the back piece off and give it to the neighbor. This plan does not create a buildable lot.

Upon motion made by Mrs. Ryan and seconded by Mr. Dillon, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to endorse the Form A Plan for Rambler Road – Sheet 45, Block 521, Lots 13 and 14.

4. Review of Board of Zoning Appeals Cases

The Board reviewed the Board of Zoning Appeals cases for 27 Pattison Street and 39-141

Libbey Industrial Parkway & 3-25 Performance Drive

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to send a letter to the Board of Zoning Appeals stating that the Planning Board is reviewing this project under separate sections of the Zoning Bylaw from the Board of Zoning Appeals and therefore makes no comment regarding the issues covered under BZA case # 2454 for 39-141 Libbey Industrial Parkway and 3-25 Performance Drive.

5. Public Hearing – 7:45 P.M.

Petr: Garo-RB Weymouth LLC

Locus: off School House Road

Sheets 17 and 21, Block 220, Lots 10 and 11

Zoning: POS (Public Open Space)

Special permit for ten (10) units of condominium dwellings

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mrs. McElroy, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to open the public hearing at 7:45 P.M.

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mrs. McElroy, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to have the clerk read the public hearing notice.

Mrs. Ryan read the public hearing notice.

Mrs. Abbott explained the process for the public hearing.

Mr. Don Springhetti stated that he is a civil engineer from Bradford Saivetz & Associates, Inc. With him are Gary Rothkopf from Garo Development Corp., and Attorney Stephen Marcus from Marcus, Errico, Emmer & Brooks.

Mr. Springhetti stated that the property they are dealing with this evening is located to the north of Broad Street. He pointed out School House Road on the plan and stated that it used to be the access for the Junior High School. He stated that there is an assisted living facility that has been constructed on the site in the last couple of years. The property is bordered on the east side by land owned by the Weymouth Housing Authority, and then along the south there are single family homes and the ballfields. There are two parcels they are dealing with. The first is one is just under one acre of land and three units are proposed for that parcel. The second parcel is just under three acres, 2.7 acres of land and there are seven units proposed for that parcel. This parcel is graded, leveled and growing grass right now. It was prepared for future development. They are proposing to use the two existing driveways for access to the seven units. The general concept was part of the master plan for the assisted living facility. The intention was always there to develop these two out parcels. In doing so, utilities were extended to both parcels. Grading was done so there will be very little earth work involved with this proposed project. They will have to relocate some of the utilities based on comments from DPW, but for the most part the water main, sewer and

drainage are all in place for this project.

Mr. Springhetti stated that each one of the units except for the first unit will have a garage and driveway with an outside parking space adjacent to the garage. Since the area has been graded and there are not a lot of trees on the site, they will be doing some intensive landscaping on both of the parcels. The two driveways will remain for the assisted living and ballfields. They believe they meet all of the requirements for a special permit. Next to the neighbors, the landscaping and wooded area will remain, and the neighbors will not see too much of a difference. All of the units will be designed for the head of the household to be 55 years or older, and the units will be a single level, ranch style units with perhaps an office on the second level.

Mrs. Abbott asked if the people that live in the condominium units will be part of the assisted living project.

Mr. Paul Casale stated that the condominium units will not be a part of the assisted living facility. When they purchased the property, they subdivided the parcel into three lots. In looking at their options for the site, they came to the conclusion that over 55 would be the best option, however, that is not the business they are in so they entered into an agreement with Garo. They will be conveying the property to Garo who will be the owners.

Mr. Hurley stated that it does not indicate on the plan that there are three lots. Mr. Springhetti explained that the application is only for two lots -3A and 4A.

Mr. Hurley asked why they would complete one development and then come back with another, and use the same traffic study. He thought they would give the Board an updated traffic study. He stated that it is a private road. He asked if they need an easement over that road

Mr. Marcus stated that there would be an agreement for shared use of the road.

Mr. Hurley stated that on parcel 4A it says that the easement is to be relocated. He asked where it would be relocated to. Mr. Springhetti replied that the utility plan shows the sewer going to the back of the units.

Mr. Hurley asked if there will still be an easement. Mr. Springhetti replied that there will be a revised utility easement.

Mr. Hurley asked if the utilities will be private or maintained by the town. Mr. Casale replied that the utilities will be private. He stated that they maintain the utilities from Broad Street into the development.

Mr. Lynch asked about the emergency gate, and whether it will be moved. Mr. Springhetti replied that he does not know of any reason that the emergency gate needs to be moved.

Mr. Lynch asked about handicapped accessibility. Mr. Springhetti replied that the first level must be handicapped accessible. The grading is such that it is adaptable to handicapped accessibility.

Mr. Lynch asked about the price of the units. Mr. Rothkopf replied that the units will be around \$200,000.

Mr. Leary asked about the 55 years and older requirement. Mr. Marcus replied that the Federal Housing Program for Older Persons Act of 1996 allows at least one person 55 years or older. You cannot restrict whether those people have children or not, but at least one person must be 55 year or older.

Mr. Leary asked if the 55 years or older would be enforced by a covenant on the property. Mr. Marcus replied that the restriction would be enforced by the special permit, and the master deed would have that as a restriction as well.

Mr. Leary asked about the units with regards to the number of bathrooms and bedrooms. Mr. Rothkopf replied that the units will have two bedrooms and two bathrooms.

Mr. Leary asked whether the units will all have garages. Mr. Rothkopf replied that some units will have a two car garage and some will have two parking spaces. All the units will have at least two spaces.

Mr. Dillon asked how long the road was from Broad Street. Mr. Springhetti replied that the road is roughly 900' from Broad Street to the easterly unit.

Mr. Dillon asked if a waiver was requested for the road length. Mr. Clarke replied that a waiver is not required because this is an existing subdivision to the end of the cul-de-sac.

Mr. Dillon asked if comments had been received from the Fire Department. Mr. Fuqua replied that he has not received any comments from the Fire Department.

Mr. Dillon stated that one unit looks very heavily clustered. Mr. Springhetti replied that the units all meet the requirements.

Mr. Dillon asked for a plan showing what the units will look like. Mr. Rothkopf replied that he will provide the Board with an elevation plan.

Mr. Dillon asked about a landscape plan. Mr. Springhetti replied that a landscaping plan was included in the package submitted to the Board.

Mr. Dillon asked about the type of lighting proposed. Mr. Rothkopf replied that the only lighting they would have would be lighting at the front and back doors of the units. He stated that he and Mr. Casale have talked about that there are post lights that run along the driveway near the ball fields that are on the high poles, and there were going to talk with the Planning Board as to whether they want those lights changed to a different head or to adjust them because presently they are turned in toward the Welch building. They are not really the right light for that building.

Mrs. McElroy asked why another traffic study was not done because this will generate a lot of traffic. Mr. Rothkopf replied that this is a very small traffic generator. It is the lowest use

that is there with only ten units. There will be a very nominal impact on traffic.

Mrs. Abbott stated that she respects their opinion that there won't be an impact, but a lot of the neighbors are here and they feel that there will be an impact. She asked that they take the traffic report, update it and show that there will not be an impact.

Mr. Rothkopf stated that at the recent meeting with neighbors, there were some concerns but it seemed to be more with the ball fields, and not so much the ten units.

Mrs. Ryan stated that she would like to hear from DPW. Originally there was a problem with the drainage from this site to Calnan Circle, and she is concerned about the lighting. Mr. Rothkopf replied that their lighting is the same as the lighting for a single family home. Mrs. Ryan asked if there will be any change in the lighting in general. Mr. Rothkopf replied that he discussed with Welch Healthcare is if the current post lights that are running along the ball field side are not the right style head for the development that is currently there, then they are more than willing to participate in changing those. He does not think they are, but it is not his decision to make. He and Welch discussed this and they feel it is a minor issue for the Planning Board to make a decision on.

Mr. Clarke stated that with regards to those lights, the staff will provide some recommendations to the Board, and this Board would make a final decision.

Mrs. Ryan stated that with regards to traffic, she does recall that at one time a day care facility was considered. Mr. Casale stated that a day care facility was under consideration for Lot 4A. He stated that of all the uses allowed under the special permit, this was the lowest use.

Mr. Hurley asked about drainage and stated that normally the Board sees some kind of retention or no increase runoff during peak storms. Regarding drainage area PR7, it says that drainage is going to the abutting property. Mr. Springhetti replied that regarding the first comment, the drainage for these two areas was calculated for the full development when Welch applied for the special permit. It is well under the allowances that were designed into the project. He explained why a detention basin was not used.

Mrs. Abbott asked about the restriction for 55 and older, and stated that when the original project came before the Board she was not aware there was room for additional buildout. Mr. Marcus replied that the deed to Welch Healthcare from the Town of Weymouth included the use restriction, and his client will be buying under the same restriction to 55 and older.

Mr. Fuqua read the comments submitted by the DPW. He stated that one comment from the staff level – it was brought up about the 55 and older, that was a condition of the sale of the property from the town to Welch Health and National Development. The Board needs to have some documentation that that condition that rides with the land successors and assigns that allows that condition to be passed on to owners that there is also knowledge that is passed on to make people aware of the condition as the property changes hands as time goes on.

Mr. Lynch asked if there will be any more additional signs at Broad Street. Mr. Rothkopf

replied that somewhere on the site there will be a sign with the name of the development and address, but at this point they have not decided on the exact location.

Mrs. Abbott stated that she would like to see the type of sign and where it will be located. Mr. Rothkopf replied that he will submit that information.

Mrs. Abbott opened the meeting for questions and comments from the public.

Ms. Annmarie O'Keefe, 11 Bell Road, asked if there is any other land that can be subdivided off from Welch Healthcare. Mr. Casale replied that this is the extent of what they own.

Ms. O'Keefe asked about parking for the ball fields. She stated that people park along the street making it hard for people to get out of their driveways. Mr. Rothkopf replied that he would like to talk to the staff members in the Planning Department. As an educated suggestion since Welch Healthcare built the parking lot for the use of the ball fields, the town may want to consider posting that roadway so that people don't park there, but park in the parking lots. He would be more than happy to put the signs up assuming the Planning Board directs him to do that.

Mr. Stephen Mezzetti, 304 Broad Street, stated that he has a couple of questions. First, they said they were going to relocate the water and drain lines. He has a right of way from Broad Street through Essex Street. He questioned where they are going to move their lines. Also they have blocked off Essex Street, and his deed says he can go from Broad Street all the way to Essex Street.

Mr. Clarke stated that he will forward a copy of that information to the applicant for his comments and we will research it.

Mr. Doug Mason, 296 Broad Street, stated that he has a number of issues. He was at the abutters meeting the other night at the Allerton House. When this project was first proposed by the Welch Group, he was on board because he thought they were good neighbors. When he went to the meeting, he found out that Welch had decided to sell the property. He had thought that Welch was going to develop it. When the Allerton House was proposed, the developer was talking about people 80 years and older, now this project is for 55 and older. He expressed concern over additional cars with people 55 and older, and suggested that this might be out of control. With regards to construction, he is concerned with the hours of construction and dust control. Concerning the sign location, he feels that another sign is not needed on Broad Street.

Mr. Dillon stated that with regards to construction times the Board can make that a condition of the special permit that construction is restricted to between the hours of 7 A.M. and 4 P.M.

Mr. Rothkopf stated that at the abutters meeting, he discussed hours of construction. He does not have issue with restricting the hours of construction from 7 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Mr. Jim Sweeney, 304 Rear Broad Street, stated that it was put in the Welch plan that he would have the ability for a future sewer connection through the driveway. He just wants to

be sure that nothing will change and that there will still be a 3" T connection put into the cap so that he could have sewer hook up to his house.

Mrs. Abbott asked Mr. Sweeney if that was done during construction of Allerton House. Mr. Sweeney replied that he never saw the connection go in, but it was in the plan.

Mr. Sweeney stated that the other issue concerns the water lines being relocated. In the back of his property, his water line currently comes from along side of the service driveway. He wants to be sure that there is no interruption of water to his home.

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mr. Lynch, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to continue the public hearing to September 27, 1999 at 7:45 P.M.

A two minute recess was declared by the Chairwoman at 9:00 P.M.

6. Public Hearing – 8:30 P.M.

Petr: South Shore Hospital

Locus: 55 Fogg Road

Sheet 45, Block 515, Lot 2; Block 518, Lots 11 & 14; Block 519, Lots 1, 3, & 5;

Block 520, Lots 1, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14

Zoning: MSD (Medical Service District)

Special permit to construct an addition adjacent to and on top of the Emilson Building and To renovate existing interior space at the 55 Fogg Road campus

Upon motion made by Mr. Dillon and seconded by Mrs. McElroy, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to open the public hearing at 9:10 P.M.

Upon motion made by Mrs. McElroy and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to have the Clerk read the public hearing notice.

Mrs. Ryan read the public hearing notice.

Mr. David Kelly, attorney for the hospital stated that Mr. John Holiver will address the Board.

Mr. John Holiver stated that he is Vice President of Administration and Clinical Services. He expressed an urgent need for this project which is designed to meet the health care needs of the community. He read a prepared statement into the record regarding the hospital's need for the project and what they are proposing.

Mr. David Kelly stated that they are requesting a special permit from this Board for property located in the Medical Service District. He stated that there are five factors for the special permit criteria that they must meet for approval. He read the criteria for approval of a special permit.

Mr. Bob Hoye from TRO stated that they brought a model of the project. He explained the proposal to the Board for the addition to the top of the Emilson building and the renovation of interior space. The additional space will be used for fourteen operating rooms and a maternity wing. He explained how traffic would enter/exit the hospital. He stated that their landscaping is following the plan that was done for the Lab/Stores building. He turned the meeting back to Mr. Holiver.

Mr. Holiver explained their parking for the hospital which includes the Main lot, Fogg lot, Cameo lot and off site parking for employees at the Sharp Street lot. He stated that they meet code requirements before and after this project. He stated that 793 Main Street will be used for parking for their construction workers.

Mr. Paul Taylor, Senior Vice President, provided the Board with a background of the hospital, particularly with regards to their surgical and maternity wings and why this project is of an urgent nature. He stated that they need to get into the ground as soon as possible, and that they expect the project to be completed within three years of when the bonds are issued.

Mr. Kelly stated that concludes their presentation.

A lengthy discussion ensued between Board members and various people representing the hospital regarding compliance with DPW requirements, traffic, parking, and drainage.

Mr. Halkiotis stated that the plan was sent to various departments for their comments. He read comments received from the Board of Health, Tax Office, Building Department, and DPW.

The meeting was opened to the public for their comments/questions.

Residents of the area who spoke at the hearing either in favor or expressing their concerns over the project were:

Dorothy Lang, 38 Adams Place Karen Berry William Meara, 895 Main Street Donna Wolfe, 918 Main Street Paul Hladysz, 35 Meredith Way

Upon motion made by Mrs. McElroy and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to continue the public hearing to September 27, 1999 at 8:15 P.M.

Mrs. McElroy and Mr. Dillon left the meeting after the hearing for the hospital.

7. Public Hearing – 9:30 P.M. (cont.)

Petr: Paul Kerrigan

Locus: 337 Summer Street

Sheet 28, Block 358, Lot 4

Zoning: R-1

Request for a waiver of the 72 foot minimum frontage requirement

Mr. Clarke stated that he has a letter requesting a continuance of the public hearing. He recommends that the hearing be continued to 9:30 P.M. on September 27,1999.

Upon motion made by Mrs. Ryan and seconded by Mr. Hurley, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to continue the public hearing to September 27, 1999 at 9:30 P.M.

8. Petr: Heritage Construction Company

Locus: Summer Woods (off Summer Street)

Sheet 32, Block 409, Lots 6 & 26

Zoning: R-1

Preliminary plan for eight (8) lot single family subdivision

Mr. Lynch excused himself from participating in the hearing.

Mr. John Bensley from Beals and Thomas stated that he is representing Heritage Construction for their preliminary plan. This property is located in Weymouth and Braintree with approximately 16 acres in Weymouth and approximately 24 acres in Braintree. There is significant wetlands on site with upland area that goes into Braintree. They are proposing an 800' roadway with a cul-de-sac that will provide frontage for the eight lots. One of those lots has an existing structure. The roadway layout is for a minor street with 24' of pavement, with sidewalk, curbing in accordance with the town's requirements, all located within a 40' right of way. In addition to the 8 lots, there is a parcel that comprises the northern portion of the property that does not contain sufficient frontage to be a building lot and they have called it parcel A on the plan. Utilities for the subdivision will be accessed off Summer Street. The sewer flows all by gravity in the roadway and is proposed to connect into the town sewer system with a pump station at the northerly end of the site. The drainage from the site will be picked up in closed drainage system in the road with catch basins and manholes. All of the lots meet zoning requirements and they are not asking for any waivers.

Mr. Fuqua read the comments received from DPW.

Mrs. Ryan made a motion to reject the preliminary plan based on the DPW Engineering Report with regards to the 28' retaining wall and that the sewer is at capacity. A 28' retaining wall is not acceptable to her. Mr. Leary seconded the motion.

Upon motion made by Mrs. Ryan and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to deny a preliminary plan entitled: "Summer Woods a Preliminary-

Plan In Weymouth, Massachusetts" submitted by Ferdinand J. Kiley, III, Trustee of WB Realty Trust; filed with the Town Clerk on July 22, 1999 at 523 Summer Street and behind said property, shown on the Weymouth Town Atlas Sheet 32, Block 409, Lots 6 & 26 for the following reason: comments contained in a memorandum dated August 12, 1999 to James Clarke from Chip Fontaine regarding preliminary subdivision review of the Summer Woods plan.

9. Petr: Watchall

Locus: 408 Washington Street

Sheet 24, Block 284, Lot 21

Zoning: B-1

Site plan review for an office/retail building

Present was Mr. Nicholas Lanney from HML Associates and Steve Certa from Watchhall.

Mr. Lanney stated that he was the civil engineer for the project. They are before the Board for a site plan review for property that is currently Shade City. He explained the site plan. They are providing parking for 5 cars at the front. The rear of the site is wooded. They are seeking to put a 9400 square foot, two story retail building with parking for 35 cars with required handicapped access parking. The property has 120' of frontage and they are proposing a single sign at the front. The applicant has met with DPW and has a plan in place for sewer and water.

Mr. Clarke asked what the access would be to the site as compared to the existing curb cut. Mr. Lanney replied that they are keeping the same curb cut with the 24' entrance.

Mr. Clarke stated that the entrance seems wider than it needs to be. Mr. Lanney replied that the entrance could be reduced.

Mr. Clarke asked if they have met with Conservation. Mr. Lanney replied that he has talked with the Conservation Commission and he was told they didn't need to go to Conservation because it's an intermittent stream.

Mr. Clarke suggested that the site plan be approved with the condition that a new plan is submitted showing the reduced curb cut.

Mr. Hurley asked about drainage. Mr. Lanney explained the drainage plans.

Upon motion made by Mrs. Ryan and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to approve the site plan as per plan entitled "Proposed Office/Retail Building, 408 Washington Street, Weymouth, Massachusetts", dated August 16, 1999, drawn by HML Associates, with the following conditions:

- 1. The curb on Washington Street shall be reduced, pending any approval required by Mass. Highway.
- 2. The Conservation Commission shall be consulted for any application that may be

required prior to filing for a building permit.

### 10. Other Business

#### a. Vernon Street

Mr. Clarke stated that after we got some Engineering comments, we also got comments from one of the owners of property on Vernon Street. They questioned the access to the right of way and we are doing research on it. He would like to have two votes this evening. Since they filed a Form A Plan and the Board approved it, he would like a motion that if we get a letter from them tomorrow, that we accept their letter requesting that they withdraw their Form A Plan. The second motion would be that if we don't get a letter, the Board reconsider the Form A vote and to rescind approval based on the fact that we are not sure that they have access on a way as per the regulations for approval under a Form A Plan.

Upon motion made by Mr. Leary and seconded by Mr. Lynch, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: if a letter is received by the applicant tomorrow to accept the applicant's letter withdrawing the Form A Plan.

Upon motion made by Mr. Hurley and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: if a letter is nor received requesting withdrawal of the Form A Plan, the Board reconsider the Form A Plan and rescind approval based on the fact that the Board is not sure they have proper access.

Upon motion by Mr. Hurley and seconded by Mr. Leary, it was:

UNANIMOUSLY VOTED: to adjourn the meeting at 11:40 P.M.

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and complete statement of all actions and votes taken at this meeting on August 30, 1999.

Susan Abbott, Chairwoman

# Public Meeting Citizen Attendance

Date: 8-30-99 Location: Town Hall

Name	Address	Organization	Phone #
Donnal	Wolfe 918 Mais		UNLISTED
Robert	Distasio 431		781-337-5090
	1 MEZZETTi	30×1 BROAD ST	337-5986
Bobert -	TAYLOR 507	Summer ST	331-6508
JOANNE	FRUZZETII 5		337-3440
AL. F	RUZZETTI 53		4
MIRIA	9m Spatry 3	35 BELL RD	337-2392
Judy		40 Bell Rd	337-05/8
Jouise of TI	Melissa Ross 5	29 Summer St.	70
Mabel	Ford 4	81 Summer St.	
James	S School ?	BUR BROWNET	337 53/8
JOANNE (K)	122ANO 5/5.	SUMMER ST.	335-2989
Marie	Runano 5155	ummer St	3352989
TIM	TOMA 499	SUMMER ST	340-5742
Bein	Iama 499	Summer St	340-00
palla	un Horth 54	15 Summer St	335-9160
mar	y to most	en 335 Seanence	1
My E	Man 4	O Bell Rd	237-05/8
Doug +	Linda Mason	296 BROAD ST.	331-0972
Role	erte Surenen 3	<b>A</b> 1	337-5318
Quinne	que OKeek	11 Bell Road	840-6556
Hayn	000	524 SUMMERS	
			1 301 40 70

## Public Meeting Citizen Attendance

Date:						
Location:						
Name	Address	:	Organization		Dhana #	
12 11	función A	. 1			Phone #	
<u> Zilliyasida</u>	<u>91/94 324</u>	SAUMMOS	<i>St</i>	<u> </u>	31-4593	
Palgains	190hr 4:	5 Servinge	SV.		1-1045	
Fred H	ours Hoph	ins 449	Summe of	1	135-7039	
Gatte	ita Kome	with the many	10	8		
mary	Borda	441 Aus	nms H		335-02/	/
0 15	10			- San	Tank and the same of the same	K
Laul-P	<u>lladyst</u>	<u>35 Mere</u>	wild Way	Way -	335-80/8	
***************************************						
				····		
			. *			
		······································	·····	······································		
- <del> </del>						
				····		
***************************************						