**TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES**

**Town Hall Council Chambers**

**Zoom # 871 6746 1165**

**17 October 2022**

Present: Arthur Mathews, President

Michael Molisse, Vice President

John Abbott, Councilor

Lisa Belmarsh, Councilor

Pascale Burga, Councilor

Fred Happel, Councilor

Christopher Heffernan, Councilor

Maureen Kiely, Councilor

Gary MacDougall, Councilor

Greg Shanahan, Councilor

Absent: Kenneth DiFazio, Councilor

Also Present: Kathleen Deree, Town Clerk

James Malary, Director of Municipal Finance

Robert Luongo, Director of Planning & Community Development

Eric Schneider, Principal Planner

Sandra Williams, Planning Board Chair

Paul Rotondo, Planning Board Member

Greg Agnew, Planning Board Member

Ben Faust, Planning Board Member

Rob Christian, Planning Board Assoc. Member (via Zoom)

Recording Secretary: Diane Hachey

President Mathews called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM. Following the Pledge of Allegiance, Town Clerk Kathleen Deree called the roll, with all present.

Vice President Molisse motioned to take item 11 out of order and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

**MOTIONS, ORDERS AND RESOLUTIONS**

**Rules Committee- Chair Pascale Burga**

**Reconsideration of a Vote Taken at March 21st Council Meeting on Measure 22 002 – Town Council Rules and Regulations for 2022-2024**

Councilor Burga reported that the vote taken to approve measure 22 002 during a report was incomplete. An amendment that was proposed and voted favorably was omitted.

Councilor Burga motioned to add Section G to Rule 26, to read, “Once a public hearing is held and closed, no further public testimony will be accepted. The motion was seconded by Councilor Shanahan and voted unanimously.

Councilor Burga motioned to approve the Rules & Regulations 2022-2024, as amended, and was seconded by Councilor Shanahan.

Unanimously voted.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Councilor Burga announced Community Clean up Day, sponsored by the Mayor and Community Events Committee will be held on Saturday April 23, 2022.

Councilor Shanahan announced the Norfolk County Mosquito Control will conduct aerial larvicide applications during mid-April.

Councilor Abbott announced that the town will host the Fiesta Carnival on the grounds of WHS on Sunday, April 20, 2022.

**MINUTES**

**Auditor Selection Committee Meeting Minutes of February 23, 2022**

Vice President Molisse motioned to approve the minutes from the February 23, 2022 Auditor Selection Committee meeting and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

**Auditor Selection Committee Meeting- Executive Session Minutes of March 7, 2022**

Vice President Molisse motioned to approve the minutes from the March 7, 2022 Auditor Selection Committee Executive Session and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

**Ordinance Committee / Planning Board Joint Meeting Minutes of March 17, 2022**

Vice President Molisse motioned to approve the minutes from the March 17, 2022 Ordinance Committee / Planning Board Joint meeting and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

**Town Council Meeting Minutes of March 21, 2022**

Vice President Molisse motioned to approve the minutes from the March 21, 2022 Town Council meeting and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**22 035-Proposed Zoning Change to the Jackson Square Village Center**

Vice President Molisse motioned to open the public hearing on measure 22 035 and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. This was advertised on 3/23 and 3/30. Unanimously voted.

Planning Board Chair Sandra Williams called the Planning Board meeting to order. Mr. Agnew motioned to open the public hearing on measure 22 035 and was seconded by Mr. Rotondo. The chair reported that member Rob Christian was present via Zoom.

Planning Director Bob Luongo briefly outlined the purpose of the proposed zoning change. This is just not a land-use exercise. The area is also an environmentally sensitive area, with the Herring Run. The Planning Department held several public meetings for maximum outreach. He asked the Council and Planning Board to continue the hearing after this meeting so that they can continue to accept public testimony at the next public outreach meeting and they can be introduced into the record.

Principal Planner Eric Schneider provided the power point presentation. The town has a resource in Jackson Square that carries impacts throughout the region and state. Inappropriate land decisions made over the last 100 years puts them in the position they are in today. It was built before modern clean standards and environmental standards. The Herring Run goes through Jackson Square, and under several properties and culverted under several others. If they were there from the beginning, the current uses are not what they would have chosen.

Jackson Square Overlay District – Measure 22 035

* Environmental Conflicts (inappropriate land uses)
  + Newcomb’s Restaurant (lost to fire)
  + Herring Run channeled under gas station parking lot
  + Herring Run channeled under automotive repair parking lot
  + Former dry cleaner on bank of Herring Run
  + Under Pleasant St and under transmission shop
* Generational Turnover (lost Businesses)
  + The Venetian Restaurant
  + Associated parking lots
  + 890 Broad St building
  + Newcomb’s Restaurant
  + 2 residential buildings on the Newcomb’s lot
  + Peck family closed for business 2 years ago; building sold
  + Building at 864 Broad St sold (former salon site)
* New or Chronic Vacancies
  + 1409 Commercial St
  + 1371 Commercial St
  + 805 Broad St
  + 1545 Commercial St
  + 825 Broad St
  + 814 Broad St
  + 835 Broad St- per website, permanently closed
* Vacant or Transitioning Properties
* Existing Zoning
  + Business District 2 – B-2
  + Residential District 1 – R-1
  + Public Open Space – POS
* What is Currently Permitted by Zoning? (Always, and continue to be by right throughout Jackson Square)
  + Restaurants
  + Retail Business
  + Clinics, Professional Offices
  + Multi-family at FAR of .3
  + Service Stations
  + Wholesale Dispatching Business
  + Enclosed or Open Lot Storage
  + Lodging Home for up to 10 People
  + Rental Agency for Autos
  + Buildings up to 6 Stories and 80 Feet
* Obstacles to Re-Use
  + Structural Inadequacy
  + Plumbing and Sewer not to Code
  + Electrical Service not to Code
  + Not ADA Accessible
  + Restrooms not to Code
  + Prohibitive Cost to Small Business Owners
* Jackson Square Land Use Study (Harriman 2021)
  + Promote a Jackson Square Village that is socially, economically, and environmentally resilient
  + Preserve the village scale of buildings and street patterns in Jackson Square
  + Protect Jackson Square’s natural ecosystems and cultural and historic resources
  + Promote economic development to support local businesses, both existing and new
* Draft Zoning Map
  + Magenta= NCD – proximity to neighbors in back max 2.5 stories
  + Turquoise=UCSD – maximum 2.5 stories
  + Yellow = UJSD- maximum 3 stories- reasonable – currently many at height. Maintain the village center by encouraging retail on ground floor
  + Blue = LJSD- bowl in lower Jackson Square- topography can handle more height – 4 stories through architectural design. Offer the ability (by special permit) criteria to look at whether the proposal warrants additional story. Property sitting right on the herring run- not ideal. Claw back some of the frontage. Carrot and stick approach. Practical look at the properties. One is a former dry cleaner that will cost to clean up the site. Same for all-make auto with privately owned culvert over the herring run. Close to 7 story housing authority building.
* Examples of 3 Story Building in Jackson Square
* Why Consider 4 Stories by Special Permit?
  + Topography
  + Leverage with developers
  + Carrot and stick approach
  + Every site is different
  + Ability to “step back” upper floor
* Parking and Dimensional Requirements
  + 1.5 parking spaces per unit – consistent standard throughout each area on the map. Talked to other developments after completion- many had too much parking allocated.
  + 1 space for each 4 restaurant seats
  + The principal façade shall be set back no further than the setback of one of the adjacent buildings or the average of both unless the front yard setback includes publicly accessible open space, such as a small plaza, as an integrated component of the overall development. If no building is adjacent to the parcel, then the measure is the closest building on the same side of the principal street.
  + Minimum of 20 feet from an abutting single-family residential use.
  + Minimum of 20 feet from Herring Run Brook whether the brook is visible or below the surface of the lot. To accomplish the purposes of this article, the Board of Zoning Appeals is authorized to grant a special permit reducing the required setback upon a showing that the proposed development has made a significant effort to address the goals of the Jackson Square Land Use Plan (2021) with respect to one of more of the following: (1) expansion of the Herring Run Pool Park; (2) removal of invasive species from the banks of the brook; (3) daylighting the brook with appropriate channeling and plantings; and (4) elimination of the surface water run-off from the site into the brook. Wetlands and conservation commission will trump
  + Where appropriate, rear and side yard setbacks shall connect to public open space and be used for active and passive public uses such as outdoor dining and retail, seating areas (shaded and open), bike racks, and other amenities designed to support local businesses and reinforce community pride and connections.
* State Housing Choice Initiative
  + Enacted as part of the Economic Development Bill in January 2021, new Section 3A of M.G.L. c. 40A (the Zoning Act) requires that an MBTA community shall have at least one zoning district of reasonable size in which multi-family housing is permitted as of right and meets other criteria set forth in the statute. Took action early- can count already developed parcels, if zoning in place meets the criteria. Have a headstart.
  + Minimum gross density of 15 units per acre
  + Must be within .5 miles of an MBTA Commuter Rail Station
  + Failure to comply results in loss of eligibility for state grants and assistance
* Next Steps
  + Thursday, February 10 – second community meeting
  + Thursday, February 17 – tentative zoning submission to Council
  + Joint public hearing with Town Council and Planning Board
  + Referral to Ordinance Committee for discussion and recommendation
  + Planning Board recommendation to Town Council
  + Ordinance Committee recommendation to Town Council
  + Town Council action

Comments ensued.

Councilor Happel noted the same three concerns he has heard through the town are traffic, parking and water. There is a provision in the proposed ordinance related to leased MBTA parking nearby that was not included in this presentation. Mr. Schneider responded that the housing initiative is coming from the MBTA and they must help solve some of the issues. The MBTA has shown willingness to discuss allowing parking in the off hours and the town would like to secure a long-term agreement, and be able to count it towards their required parking. The CVS parking lot also has times where it’s vacant and perhaps the town can secure some of those spots for overnight parking. Councilor Happel asked what happens after buildings are constructed and the five-year term expires. Mr. Luongo responded that it could present problems to a building owner who has financed the property for a longer term than the parking commitment, if they lose leased spaces and can’t secure a replacement. A developer will have to determine if the provision can be utilized. He also suggested they could include shared or off-street parking provisions (parking within the development itself). All residential parking in all developments so far have parking on site. There has been no relief granted by the BZA. Mr. Schneider pointed out two things can be added: a maximum percentage of required parking offsite (restaurant, for example), and all residential parking must be accounted for onsite- a classic shared parking concept.

Councilor Belmarsh asked if they considered condos vs. rentals. Townhouses would help and would establish permanency and not transiency associated with rentals. She also suggested potential inclusionary zones for bigger buildings, for example, where the Peck buildings are- as 4 stories or 5 stories with special permit, and an affordable housing component. There are a lot of vacancies- some along Lovell field are already 4 stories already so it’s not much of a change. There are still residents in the area. Make sure to find some affordability for them elsewhere in town. Mr. Schneider responded that they can incentivize and add affordable units. Their concerns with energy and water that aren’t going away- so can they use them to incentivize with green technologies and minimizing the environmental impacts.

Councilor MacDougall asked if the town has taken advantage of MBTA grants, or if they stand to lose grants if they don’t comply. Mr. Schneider responded that the town received $2.4 million in three grants. The state provided numbers of what could be in jeopardy. Weymouth has been very successful with state grants. Economic Development was the big one. It’s difficult for the town to appropriate funds without them and they have been aggressively pursuing them. Mr. Schneider also noted that the town is fortunate to have staff that has the expertise to go after grant funding; many smaller communities might not have that advantage. Councilor MacDougall asked if the MBTA will kick in more if the town complies? Mr. Luongo added it’s DCHD driven, and they are working with the MBTA. There was a community question period that just closed. The town qualified this year for safe havens because of the presentation they gave at the last Town Council meeting and then by completing a survey.

Councilor Heffernan noted that Weymouth is at a potential of 5,048 units of new housing based on this legislation. It was passed late at night unbeknownst to many. To have this cookie cutter approach to every city that hosts an MBTA station is extremely frustrating. Knowing that what the town has already put in place is good to hear, but that this occurred is frustrating.

Councilor Burga asked if the deadline to meet compliance is interpreted as zoning, but not buildout. Mr. Schneider responded that they are required only to put the zoning in place; they don’t have to build a single unit, and he added that placing the zoning in the overlay, it will allow them to capture some of the development previously built. Mr. Luongo added they may have to tweak some of the remaining parcels in the Landing.

Councilor Kiely asked how many parking spaces the municipal lot in Jackson Square holds. Mr. Luongo guessed it’s about 50, but will find out. Councilor Kiely urged that parking is secured for the local businesses and not allow to be them taken by residents. Mr. Luongo responded that it can be protected by requiring onsite residential parking, or require parking within 1000 feet of a residential development. Mr. Schneider responded that proposals near the MBTA have already conditioned approval to assist with funding a traffic study that holistically looks at the square. A lot of the traffic in Jackson Square is through-traffic and not generated within the square. They are applying for state money to match contributions from local developers to fund the study.

Councilor Heffernan asked how the state conveyed what developments counted towards the goal- was it in writing? If not, he would feel better if it was in a document. Mr. Schneider responded he would check whether it is in the original legislation or subsequent documents.

Chair Williams invited comments from the Planning Board.

Mr. Faust noted some of the legislation mentions units suitable for children- how will that be interpreted to make sure they are? Mr. Schneider responded that most transit-oriented zoning focused on smaller units; by offering a mix of unit types- 1- and 2-bedrooms realistically ensure avoiding exclusion of some parts of the community. Mr. Faust asked if they considered metered parking in the municipal lot and on street. Mr. Schneider responded that it hasn’t been considered in the zoning. Mr. Faust asked if parking requirements will be flexible for restaurants. Mr. Schneider responded that calculations are based on type of establishment - sit down vs. takeout.

Mr. Agnew asked if the state is willing to contribute to potential water shortages from development. Mr. Schneider responded that the state’s answer would be that they aren’t requiring the town to build. He hopes the shift in town’s planning over the last five years, the creation of housing and continued compliance will help in the long run. Mr. Luongo added that the state makes it seem they are well coordinated, but he is unsure how much coordination there is between DEP, DCHD and MassDOT and MBTA. They need to be more coordinated if they are going to dictate to the locals. Mr. Agnew asked if the land owner would be able to contribute more as apartments vs. condos. There is a misconception that apartment buildings yield less property tax to the town. Mr. Luongo responded they could research it with the Assessing Department.

President Mathews asked if there were any questions or comments from the public, to which there was the following:

**Joe Gratta, 143 Washington Street**- encapsulated the ideas. There needs to be some change in Jackson Square with 13 empty storefronts. This is a unique opportunity. The state guidelines are not clear. From a developer perspective there needs to be a heavy investment upfront. There are new MassSave initiatives that subsidize but only for buildings of 4 stories and above. Zoning is a tool to enable reinvestment; not to prevent it. There are ways to make it functional and beautiful and address the bigger problems. One of the other big pieces, by rolling back CCOD they centralize development within the town centers. He recommended putting together a zoning plan that’s adaptable to development instead of restrictive. He urged increasing stories to take advantage of incentives.

**Tricia Pries 15 Woodbine Road**- noted there are physical limits to the traffic. Aside from the property tax, there are educational costs incurred in more densely populated areas. Water is an issue, and MWRA is not a readily tenable solution and pumping from N. Weymouth requires a pump station. She suggested truly affordable housing close to the MBTA would solve the problem. The MBTA lot in E. Weymouth recently changed hands and is now run by a federal agency.

**Eric Papachristos, Owner, Venetian and Nikos Restaurants**- stated he is not a developer, but a restaurateur. He grew up in Weymouth, and purchased the Venetian. He is bullish on the neighborhood, and wants to help build a better community. He now owns several of the properties. Development is important and so is the parking. Affordable housing is also important- most of his staff rely on it. He would like to see the whole square- upper and lower- developed. There’s a huge risk associated with developing. Purchasing is high-risk, and with macro-economic developments. There is conflict overseas, and rising interest rates. He thanked them for the process.

**George Berg, 74 Summer Street**- President of Weymouth Chamber of Commerce and former business owner in Weymouth. MBTA has come after the fact, but the staff has been ahead of the curve looking at land use. He believes that we should keep it separate from the proposal. Longtime residents have seen a great transition over the last 10 years. It is now a destination community (sports fields), and the library draws from the region. Development of Jackson Square is first step. Keep in mind there are plenty of safety valves. Affordable housing is a healthy number for the town. It’s a viable option. The town is extremely lucky that local people are interested in developing and not outside companies who want to develop it.

Vice President Molisse motioned to continue the public hearing on measure 22 035 to April 19, 2022 and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

Mr. Agnew motioned to continue the Planning Board’s public hearing to April 19, 2022 and was seconded by Mr. Rotondo. Unanimously voted.

Mr. Rotondo motioned to close the Planning Board’s meeting and was seconded by Mr. Agnew. Unanimously voted.

**OLD BUSINESS**

**Mayor Hedlund’s Memorandum Dated March 11, 2021**

**Reconsideration of Measure 22 001-Citizens Petition- Request to Change Zoning Ordinances- section 120-64.7.1- Billboard Relocation Overlay District- Resultant from Veto by Mayor, Pursuant to Charter Section 3-7 - Council President Mathews**

President Mathews reported that the Town Council unanimously approved Measure 22 001 on February 22, 2022 and it was sent to the Mayor on March 1, 2022. The Mayor returned it unsigned on March 11, 2022 with a memo. Pursuant to the town charter, the town council has no sooner than 10 days and no later than 30 days, to reconsider the measure vetoed by the Mayor, and a two-thirds vote is required for an override.

The following were read into the record and are incorporated as attachments at the end of these minutes.

1. Memo from Mayor Hedlund to Council President Mathews dated March 11, 2022
2. Memo from Council President Mathews to Mayor Hedlund dated March 28, 2022
3. Memo from Mayor Hedlund to Council President Mathews dated March 31, 2022
4. Email correspondence from Miyares & Harrington dated March 30, 2022
5. Memo from Mayor Hedlund to Council President Mathews dated April 4, 2022
6. Memo from Councilor Mathews to Mayor Hedlund dated April 4, 2022 – list of questions compiled from Councilors

Having read each, President Mathews opened up a discussion.

Councilor DiFazio asked if the billboard is currently lit. If it is, there should be no discussion of mediation, unless they offered to shut it off, or the town got an injunction to shut it off. While he is all for mediation, what has happened doesn’t go unnoticed. The residents have stuck it out and the Mayor made offers to go the extra yard, but the other party is not bargaining in good faith at the moment.

President Mathews reported that one side was turned off, then the default notice sent. The second side was then also turned on. It has been on for nearly 3 months.

Councilor Burga asked if this is the second mediation? President Mathews responded that the Solicitor’s letter triggered a 60-day period for mitigation. None of the items outlined on his list have been resolved, as he learned from conversation with the neighborhood representatives.

Councilor Heffernan noted his disappointment that Miyares & Harrington did not offer alternative dates to meet publicly with Council. His faith in Cove left a long time ago. Peter McClary and Ed O’Sullivan promised everything and then did nothing. The town should cease all business with them. It’s been 5 years, and this is not acceptable.

President Mathews responded that it was brought to his attention that Miyares & Harrington were involved back in January 20, 2022. The Solicitor indicated the neighborhood group met with Miyares & Harrington then. Councilor Heffernan responded that he would have liked to have public conversation with Miyares & Harrington- not everyone was privy to the discussion in the neighborhood forum nor the executive session. President Mathews responded that Miyares & Harrington indicated no conversation on it should take place, even in executive session; however, when they met to discuss legal strategy on the compressor with the Council, they met several times in executive session. Councilor Heffernan added that the town solicitor has left Weymouth employ, and the town currently has no legal counsel on staff. That concerns him. President Mathews responded that they aren’t able to wait to take it up at the next meeting because they are required by charter to take it up within 30 days from the date it was vetoed.

Councilor Belmarsh noted the Council offered them opportunity to meet with them and in executive session and the offer was turned down. There is no change to the original vote. This is a Hail Mary pass, and she is not sure of what they are trying to do. She supports the override.

Councilor MacDougall added that this is the second time around. Residents have shown they don’t want billboards. A lot of time and a lot of money has been spent fighting it. Now, they hear more time is needed for mitigation. This is a business that can’t be trusted and bad to deal with. He plans to vote to override the veto.

Vice President Molisse pointed out they are dealing with a company that has no respect for the town and its citizens. An override is not an attack on the Mayor, but support for the citizens.

Vice President Molisse motioned to override the Mayor’s veto dated March 11, 2022 on Measure 22 001- Citizens Petition and was seconded by Councilor MacDougall.

President Mathews reported that a couple of years ago, the “Friends of Finnell” brought a petition forward on the billboard at 611 Pleasant and the residents and Council were asked by the Mayor to allow them to attempt to resolve the problems, unsuccessfully. Again, a petition has been submitted and the board at 611 Pleasant is still not resolved.

Vice President Molisse reported that this is about the residents. President Mathews pointed out he feels bad for the Mayor. Cove has not cooperated and is putting the Mayor in a predicament. Mitigation was entered and yet, the billboard has been on the whole time. It’s a slap in the face to the residents who live on Century Road.

Councilor Burga added that it was well intended, but it didn’t work out. It’s time for them to put a stop to it.

Unanimously voted 11-0.

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**

**Public Works Committee-Chair Kenneth DiFazio**

Chair DiFazio reported that the Ordinance Committee met on April 4, 2022 to deliberate the following measure(s):

**22 016- Street Acceptance – Camelot Way**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Camelot Way, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET ACCEPTANCE PLAN CAMELOT WAY, SOUTH WEYMOUTH MASSACHUSETTS” drawn by Department of Public Works Engineering Division Town of Weymouth, Plan #7451-B, dated November 24, 2017 containing ± 17, 543 S.F., to be recorded herewith:

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 016 to the Planning Board and was seconded by VP Molisse.

**22 017- Street Acceptance – Cardinal Circle**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Cardinal Way, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “AS-BUILT PLAN AND PROFILE, CARDINAL CIRCLE, WEYMOUTH, MA. by Neil J. Murphy Associates, Inc.,” Plan #6834-B, dated January 10, 2011 containing ± 12,326 S.F., to be recorded herewith, and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. Unanimously voted.

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 017 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse.

**22 018- Street Acceptance – Meeting House Lane**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Camelot Way, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET ACCEPTANCE PLAN, MEETING HOUSE LANE, WEYMOUTH MASSACHUSETTS” drawn by Weymouth DPW, Plan #7396-B, dated December 19, 2019, to be recorded herewith, and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. 10-0 one recusal (Councilor DiFazio).

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 018 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. 10-0 one recusal (Councilor DiFazio).

**22 019- Street Acceptance – Mill River Drive**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Mill River Drive, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET ACCEPTANCE PLAN, MILL RIVER DRIVE SOUTH WEYMOUTH MASSACHUSETTS” drawn by Weymouth DPW Engineering Division Plan #7367-B dated December 16, 2019, to be recorded herewith, and was seconded by Vice President Molisse.

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 019 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. Unanimously voted.

**22 020- Street Acceptance – Tilden Circle**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Tilden Circle, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET AS-BUILT PLAN, TILDEN ROAD & TILDEN CIRCLE, WEYMOUTH MA.” drawn by Weymouth DPW, Plan #7370-B, dated August 2, 2018, to be recorded herewith, and was seconded by Vice President Molisse.

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 020 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. Unanimously voted.

**22 021- Street Acceptance – Tilden Road**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Tilden Road, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET AS-BUILT PLAN, TILDEN ROAD & TILDEN CIRCLE, WEYMOUTH MA.” drawn by Weymouth DPW, Plan #7370-B, dated August 2, 2018, to be recorded herewith, and was seconded by Vice President Molisse.

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 021 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse.

**22 022- Street Acceptance – Waterford Drive**

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned that the Town of Weymouth approve the layout of a certain parcel of land, as follows:

1. A parcel known as Waterford Drive, a private way, shown on a plan entitled “STREET ACCEPTANCE PLAN, WATERFORD DRIVE SOUTH WEYMOUTH MASSACHUSETTS” drawn by Weymouth DPW Engineering Division, Plan #7366-B. dated December 16, 2019, to be recorded herewith, and seconded by Vice President Molisse.

On behalf of the Public Works Committee, Councilor DiFazio motioned to refer measure 22 022 to the Planning Board and was seconded by Vice President Molisse. Unanimously voted.

President Mathews added that this is the first part of the process, and a second public hearing will be scheduled.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Town Council is Tuesday, April 19, 2022, due to Patriots Day Holiday. At 9:40 PM; there being no further business, Vice President Molisse motioned to adjourn the meeting and was seconded by Councilor Kiely. Unanimously voted.

Respectfully Submitted by Diane Hachey as Recording Secretary

Approved by Arthur Mathews as President of the Town Council

Approved 19 April 2022